

# $\lambda$ Calculus Worksheet

Angel Alvarez  
Foundations of Computer Science,  $\lambda$  Calculus

November 15, 2017

**Definition 1.0.0.** The Definition of  $\lambda$  Calculus

- Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all valid expressions in  $\lambda$  Calculus.
- Let  $x \in A$  mean that  $x$  is a member of the symbol-space (alphabet)  $A$ .
- Let  $e \in \Lambda$  mean that  $e$  is a valid expression in the set of all valid  $\lambda$  Calculus expressions.

$$\forall x \in A. x \in \Lambda \quad \forall x \in A, \forall M \in \Lambda. \lambda x.M \in \Lambda \quad \forall M, N \in \Lambda. (M N) \in \Lambda$$

We can also define  $\lambda$  Calculus expressions like so:

$$e ::= x \mid (\lambda x.e) \mid (e e)$$

**Exercise 1.0.1.** Determine whether the following are valid  $\lambda$  Calculus expressions:

$$\lambda x.x \quad \lambda a.b \quad x.x \quad \lambda \lambda.\lambda \quad \lambda x.hello\ world$$

$$\lambda x.\lambda y.x + y \quad \lambda x \quad \lambda \lambda.x \quad \lambda.. \quad \lambda h.\lambda e.\lambda l.\lambda o.\lambda w.\lambda r.\lambda d.hello\ world$$

**Definition 1.1.0.** The Definition of a Combinator

- Let  $x \in A$ .  $x$  is a closed variable *IFF* is attached to a  $\lambda$  expression.
- Let  $y \in A$ .  $y$  is a free variable *IFF* is not a closed variable.
- Let  $e \in \Lambda$ .  $e$  is a combinator *IFF* all variables in  $e$  are closed variables.

**Exercise 1.1.1.** Determine whether the following are valid combinators:

$$\lambda x.x \quad \lambda y \quad \lambda x.\lambda y.y \quad \lambda x.hello\ world$$

$$\lambda x.y \quad \lambda a.b \quad \lambda b.\lambda a.a b \quad \lambda h.\lambda e.\lambda l.\lambda o.\lambda w.\lambda r.\lambda d.hello\ world$$

**Lemma 2.0.0.**  $\alpha$  Equivalence

- Let  $\lambda x.M[y]$  represent an expression where all instances of  $x$  in  $M$  are replaced with the expression  $y$ .

$$(\lambda x.M[x]) \Rightarrow (\lambda y.M[y])$$

**Do not to replace variables with symbols already in use in your expression.**

**Exercise 2.0.1.** Determine whether the following are valid uses of  $\alpha$  equivalence:

$$\lambda x.\lambda y.x \Rightarrow \lambda y.\lambda y.y \quad \lambda x.\lambda y.y \Rightarrow \lambda a.\lambda y.y \quad \lambda i.\lambda j.i j j \Rightarrow \lambda k.\lambda j.j k k$$

$$\lambda x.x \Rightarrow \lambda f.f \quad \lambda f.f \Rightarrow \lambda f f.(f f) \quad \lambda a.\lambda b.a \Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda y.x$$

**Lemma 2.1.0.**  $\beta$  Reduction

- Let  $\lambda x.M[y]$  represent an expression where all instances of  $x$  in  $M$  are replaced with the expression  $y$ .

$$(\lambda x.M[x]) E \Rightarrow (M[x ::= E])$$

**Exercise 2.1.1.** apply the  $\beta$  reduction rules to the following expressions. **Show your work!**

1.  $(\lambda w.w)(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y)(\lambda z.z)$

2.  $(\lambda x.\lambda y.y)(\lambda a.\lambda b.b)(\lambda f.\lambda g.f)$

3.  $(\lambda f.\lambda x.f x)(\lambda x.x)(\lambda f.\lambda x.f(f(f x)))$

Church Encodings of truth values:

- Let  $True ::= \lambda x.\lambda y.x$
- Let  $False ::= \lambda x.\lambda y.y$

Logic operators on the encodings:

- Let  $Not ::= \lambda x.x\ False\ True$
- Let  $And ::= \lambda x.\lambda y.x\ y\ False$
- Let  $Or ::= \lambda x.\lambda y.x\ True\ y$
- Let  $IfThenElse ::= \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.x\ y\ z$

**Exercise 3.0.0.** Simplify the following logical statements, first by expressing the leftmost expression in  $\lambda$  Calculus, and then reducing the expressions.

1.  $(Not\ True\ False\ True)$

2.  $(And\ (Or\ False\ True)\ True)$

3.  $(IfThenElse\ True\ False\ True)$

### Church Encodings of Integers

- Let  $Zero ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.x$
- Let  $One ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.f x$
- Let  $Two ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f x)$
- Let  $Three ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f (f x))$
- Let  $Four ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f (f (f x)))$
- Let  $Five ::= \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f (f (f (f x))))$
- ...

### Mathematical Operators:

- Let  $Add ::= \lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.m f (n f x)$
- Let  $Mult ::= \lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.m (n f)$
- Let  $Exp ::= \lambda m.\lambda n.n m$
- Let  $Succ ::= \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.f (n f x)$
- Let  $Pred ::= \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.n (\lambda g.\lambda h.h (g f)) (\lambda u.x) (\lambda u.u)$
- Let  $Sub ::= \lambda m.\lambda n.n Pred m$

**Exercise 4.0.0.** Simplify the following mathematical statements by reducing them in  $\lambda$  Calculus.

1.  $(Mult\ Zero\ Two)$

2.  $(Add\ Three\ (Succ\ One))$

**Definition 5.0.0.** Definition of the Y Combinator

$$Y ::= \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx)) (\lambda x.f(xx))$$

**Exercise 5.0.1.** Let  $FOO ::= \lambda f.\lambda n.\lambda m.isZero\ n\ m\ (f\ (Pred\ n)\ (Succ\ m))$

Simplify the following recursive function call. Don't worry about decoding every expression. If something is obvious, such as  $(Pred\ Three)$ , then you don't need to show that step fully. Remember that  $isZero ::= \lambda n.n\ (\lambda x.False)\ True$

Hint: You can give some expression a name to simplify your work. I recommend using the following simplification:  $RecF ::= (\lambda x.FOO\ (x\ x))(\lambda x.FOO\ (x\ x))$ . Knowing what this does will make this evaluation significantly easier.

- $((Y\ FOO)\ Two\ Three)$

Does this function remind you of any other function we have studied?